UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
700 STEWART STREET
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101

ROBERT S. LASNIK

DISTRICT JUDGE (206) 370-8810

July 8, 2022

Todd Logan Edelson PC 150 California Street, 18th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

Harold Malkin Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 1301 Second Ave., Suite 2800 Seattle, WA 98101

Delivered Via CM/ECF

RE: Ferrando v. Zynga Inc., C22-0214RSL Stipulated Protective Order

Dear Counsel:

On July 7, 2022, the Court received your proposed "Stipulated Protective Order." Dkt. # 29.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c), protective orders may be entered to protect parties from annoyance, embarrassment, or undue burden or to protect confidential commercial information. Such protective orders may issue upon a showing of good cause.

Although parties may agree on confidentiality among themselves, when they request that the Court be involved, the proposed order must be narrowly drawn, identifying both the type of information that is to be protected and, if not obvious, the reason such protection is warranted. The order must also comply with the applicable federal and local procedural rules.

The agreed protective order submitted in this case is deficient because it is too broad and gives too much discretion to the parties to designate information as "confidential." The order mentions types of information that are likely to be confidential, such as "trade secrets" and "information subject to privacy laws or prohibited from disclosure by

statute." The order also purports to cover exceptionally broad categories of documents, such as "sensitive" financial information and "other information or tangible things that qualify for protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)." These materials may or may not have been kept in confidence and may or may not provide a commercial advantage to defendant's competitors if disclosed. The parties' description of "confidential" documents imposes virtually no limit on what corporate documents could be shielded from public view.

The agreed protective order received by the Court will remain lodged in the file, but will not be entered. The parties may resubmit a proposed order if they remedy the deficiencies identified in this letter.

Sincerely,

Robert S. Lasnik

MMS Casnik

United States District Judge